

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/267,973	03/12/1999	LEONID ANTHONY TURKEVICH	12161.2	7809
75	90 01/14/2003			
WILLIAM E. MAYCOCK KIMBERLY-CLARK CORPORATION 401 NORTH LAKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			GRAY, JILL M	
NEENAH, WI 54956			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003	

73

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS-				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/267,973	TURKEVICH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jill M Gray	1774				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
THE - Extermination of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 150	<u> October 2002</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under						
•	ion of Claims	ing in the application					
4) Claim(s) 9,12-16,23,50 and 55-66 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· _	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) 9,12-16,50 and 55-66 is/are rejected.						
•	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	ion Papers	r ciconon roquiroment.					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
) \square The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and T	rademark Office						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/267,973

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 15, 2002 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 50 and 55-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawabe 6, 001,299 in view of Japanese Patent Abstract JP 63288216 (Oshida) for reasons of record.
- 4. Claims 9 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawabe '299 in view of Oshida, as applied above to claims 50 and 55-66, further in view of PCT Publication No. WO 96/13319 (Pike), for reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed October 15, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/267,973

Art Unit: 1774

Applicants argue that Oshida teaches a material designed to be used at low humidity whereas the present invention does not have low humidity limitations or concerns.

In this regard, it is the examiner's position that the fact that Oshida teaches a material that may be used in low humidity conditions does not preclude his clear teachings of the incorporation of a dielectric material such as barium titanate in thermoplastic polymer wherein said barium titanate is added in amounts within applicants' range.

Applicants argue that one skilled in the art would not look to combine the teachings of Oshida and Kawabe because one teaches a material designed to be an antistat and the other teaches a material designed to hold charge.

The examiner disagrees. In particular, it is the examiner's position that the skilled artisan would look to the teachings of Oshida to determine barium titanate particle loadings that can be incorporated in thermoplastic materials with a reasonable expectation of success.

As to the previously filed arguments set forth in applicants earlier filed responses and/or amendments, the examiner incorporates all previously stated answers to said arguments.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill M Gray whose telephone number is 703.308.2381. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/267,973

Art Unit: 1774

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 703.308.0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.5408 for regular communications and 703.305.3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

703.308.0651.

jmg January 13, 2003